





by nine-times ( 778537 )

At this point, I really think it's mostly about the catch-22 of, developers don't develop apps for Linux because there aren't users, and users don't use it because there aren't apps. Similarly with hardware - hardware vendors don't support it because there aren't enough customers using it, and users don't use it because their hardware doesn't support it.

And their, like you said, there are the legacy apps. Some company has some old application created 15 years ago. Their whole business runs on this crappy

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by jd ( 1658 )

No, a distribution such as RHEL is a one-stop shop.  
No, there is only one official kernel, one API, one vision. (There needs to be a Freddie edition.)  
Since when is LibreOffice a poor imitation? You need to try harder.  
You don't know about their international tour, dissing open source and claiming a lower TCO? Boy, did you miss out on some garbage. It's just just the CEOs didn't.  
Microsoft charge several hundred dollars an install more from every vendor that offers alternatives. They used to offer a r

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by jef43743 ( 1256583 )


Businesses aren't going to use tools that specifically work worse than others  
You haven't spent much time working in a larger business, have you?

•

•

•

**Re: So what? (Score:4)**  
by jd ( 1658 ) <lmipak@l0o.com [yah' in gap]> on Monday October 22, 2018 @02:21PM (#57518703) Homepage  
Who cares what you make? Past cost of living, money is a number.  
It's how well you work and how positive that is in the broader picture.  
Windows of all kinds is of very low quality. It has a defect density somewhere between ten to a hundred times that of Linux. It is fantastically insecure and unstable. The office suite is so poor; Microsoft had to bribe ISO to recognise the format. The UI for Office is cumbersome and gets in the way of doing anything productive.

[Reply to This](#) [Parent](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•


•

•

**Re: So what? (Score:2)**  
by jd ( 1658 )  
Also, popularity is irrelevant. Plague charms were popular, once. Didn't mean they worked. Something works or it doesn't, the rest is window dressing and salespitch.


**Shout all you want, the response will be the same: (Score:4, Insightful)**  
by Shadow of Eternity ( 795165 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:02PM (#57518111)

"Fuck you, who else are you going to go to?"

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

**There is a problem with how it updates it... (Score:5, Interesting)**  
by Dwyedit ( 232252 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:15PM (#57518227) Homepage  
There actually is a problem with how it updates it. You see, Windows was designed to emit a two-byte NOP at the beginning of every function, just so it could be hotpatched to redirect to a longer jump instruction. This mechanism would allow reboot-free updating of core system files.  
I don't see any reboot-free updating of core system files here.

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by strnkthtree ( 811449 )  
We honestly, try to trace out all the file locks and kernel locks is too hard for them without making the system unstable by accident, so yeah. They went with the tried and true rebooting.  
It is disgusting to me that they don't have enough technical knowledge to fully understand the shit they are selling to everyone... but here you have it. It is what it is: A steaming pile of crap.

**Underuse of the word it. (Score:2)**  
by vossman77 ( 300689 )  
Microsoft's Problem Isn't How Often It Updates Windows -- It's How It Develops It  
Works much better like this:  
Its Problem Isn't How Often It Updates It -- It's How It Develops It

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by raftpeople ( 844215 )  
I'm noticing some similarities to that other "IT" from the movies:  
1 - Both IT's have a crazy clown interface  
2 - Both IT's make the townspeople lives miserable (although in fairness, only one of them loses your data)


**Not just Microsoft (Score:2)**  
by Woodstockjeff ( 568111 )  
I have no desire to have my one Win10 computer "upgraded", I just want bugs fixed. It came with one "upgrade" installed, and wouldn't do much of anything until I installed the second "upgrade", and I'm afraid to let it near to removing wifi access point until I figure out how to stop it from installing this latest one. I'm still trying to find how to work the CRAP I didn't want from those previous "upgrades".  
Of course, the promise is that the new "update" allows me to remove a few more of unwanted applicat

**Yet another failure of capitalism (Score:2, Insightful)**  
by gestalt\_n\_pepper ( 991153 )  
New "features" sells software. Bug fixes don't.  
Microsoft will keep churning out crud. Same shit. Different day. That same old 90s era C++ "we know better than you" attitude is still very much in evidence.

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by RadicalModerate ( 563286 )  
This offer is a joke, but it is in this case. Nobody "buys" windows for features, they get it because it came installed on their computer and that's what they need to run their software. No one's going to switch from Mac or Linux because File Explorer offers dark mode.  
But yeah, features are exciting and bug fixes are boring, so the suits want new features to brag about in their Powerpoints. Honestly, there's no reason MS can't do both. They're a huge company, they should be able to fix bugs and develop fea

**Don't worry (Score:2)**  
by PPH ( 736903 )  
It will all be fixed in Windows 12.

**"Fix bugs" (Score:5, Insightful)**  
by tambo ( 310170 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:42PM (#57518391)  
"Microsoft needs to stop developing new features and just fix bugs."  
Generally true, but what does Microsoft do about core features that are so intensely buggy that they are literally unusable?  
The Windows registry is a dumpster fire.  
The Windows role-based security model is an unmitigated headache.  
App compatibility is so bad that Windows *still* has a "Program Files" folder and a "Program Files (x86)" folder.  
Windows Help has been beyond useless for the entire lifespan of Windows. It's so bad that people resort to MSDN, which is *also* beyond useless.

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Luthair ( 847766 )  
App compatibility is so bad that Windows still has a "Program Files" folder and a "Program Files (x86)" folder.  
What are you even complaining about here? That Microsoft supports customers instead of shitting on them?


**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Bert64 ( 520050 )  
Once they get customers switched over to monthly subscriptions, then they might start fixing bugs... Until then, they need to introduce new features to encourage upgrades.  
However it's more likely that they would just stop adding new features, and still not bother fixing bugs.

**Windows = Spam & Snoop Engine (Score:5, Interesting)**  
by Tubizer ( 95088 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:53PM (#57518467) Journal  
with commentators saying that two feature updates a year is too many and Redmond should cut back to one, and that Microsoft needs to stop developing new features and just fix bugs.  
My observation is that MS is experimenting with either different ways to spam Windows users, and/or looking for ways to force them into their cloud/store to (hopefully) rent or buy services through it. This is probably the main reason for changes.

I get "Windows notifications" of new or upgraded services offered by MS. The pretty login screens sometimes show vacation spots tur MS appears to be sponsoring". (I must admit, I have clicked out of curiosity after seeing some nice photo. I fed the troll, and had to shower afterward.) And MS-Paint has a notice toolbar icon that the app will be moving to the cloud soon with a link to their store. The app may be free (now), but they can get you into their store to shop around if they move their usual Windows goodies up there.

They look at Google App Store and Apple Store as their future revenue growth, not selling OS's. The OS is to become their ad and MS-cloud tie-in platform. Linux-based OS's are slowly nipping at their OS cash cow, and they are scrambling for alternative revenue. They lost the phone and tablet OS wars, and consumers and small biz are slowly but increasingly shifting to Android and arguably Apple for desktop replacements or alternatives. New users only use MS for compatibility, not because they want to. MS is being pushed to be the new IBM, and Google is the new MS, but MS won't go quietly, since they see how IBM is struggling to remain relevant. (IBM's A.I. ads have desperate PHB written all over them.)


Cloud is their only recent success story; thus, they're hellbent in turning Windows into an MS-cloud portal. I'd do the same if I were a greedy MS executive trying to leverage the co's only success.  
\* To be fair, I haven't found a direct tie yet, but some appear very suspicious. I should turn off the login wallpaper, but have to admit they supply some cool pics if you use the tuning feature to see what you like.

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by rahvin12 ( 446269 )  
They look at Google App Store and Apple Store as their future revenue growth, not selling OS's.  
Not to say they see the App Store as a revenue source, but the plan is to turn Windows into a monthly service cost in 2020 just like Office 365 you'll have to pay every month to use windows. This has been in the plans for 5+ years now and it's why Windows 10 was "free". Free in the sense that you gave away all commercial rights to a paid for copy and agreed to pay for the SAAS version of windows when it rolls out as an update to Windows 10.  
Honestly if you aren't aware this is the plan you've not been paying at

**Isn't that a general problem with agile dev? (Score:4, Interesting)**  
by MerlinTheWizard ( 824941 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @02:12PM (#57518631)  
Despite regular bold statements that agile methods have improved everything, experience shows that it has mostly degraded software quality and consistency and only improved short-term revenue for software companies.  
Regarding Windows, this has gone downhill so much that it defies good sense. It actually used to be a pretty decent platform (at least starting with Windows NT 4 and Windows 2000), very consistent. Starting with Windows 8, it started degrading. You just have to remember their main strategy was to push forward Windows on mobile platforms. They failed spectacularly with the mobile market, yet they kept insisting with all the same methods. Windows 10 is essentially the result of a strategic failure, which is incidentally consistent with agile methods, as those basically promote no long-term vision or strategy and only focus on short-term makeshift jobs, AKA "new features".

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by biahwpsul ( 757119 )  
Windows 10 is essentially the result of a strategic failure.  
No, windows 10 is the result Microsoft seeing the mad profits of the last 20 years of software theft (aka taking control of the software) by the videogame industry (aka Ultima RPG's during the 90's become mmo's and profits explode, world of warcraft, overwatch with lootboxes and microtransactions, etc) and walled garden software model pioneered by smartphones, the videogame industry for the last 20 years has been at the forefront of wanting to get rid of software ownership and take control of the end users

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Luthair ( 847766 )  
I think you're confused, agile doesn't say anything about when software should be released. Its about taking on bite-sized chunks instead of trying to tackle the entire universe at once. Continuous delivery is likely the source of your complaint as its about pushing shit out the door frequently which makes it a lot more difficult to do in-depth testing due to time constraints.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by stinkthtree ( 811449 )  
Hm. Vista was slow because of the DRM in the kernel. Windows 7 was faster because they figured out how to not make the DRM such a resource hog, but Windows 7 was just as anti-consumer as Vista.  
Starting with Windows 8, it started degrading.  
I would say it became unpalatable around Windows 8. It was already degrading before that. Windows 10 is just a "what the fuck is this shit? I will never submit to it." step in the wrong direction.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by complete-foony ( 663508 )  
This still feels like Microsoft are using the same development model under the hood. Go through a burst of writing new features, trying to get them into trunk before "feature freeze", not caring that they are fundamentally broken. Then go through a bug fixing phase before release. The difference is the timing of each development cycle. They migrated to git internally, but their code base is so large that they had to write a virtual filesystem driver so developers could work on the code without cloning the h


**Quality of its operating system has deteriorated? (Score:2)**  
by Murdock5 ( 1563847 )  
Exactly when was Microsoft Windows a high quality Operating System?  
After 32 years, it's still barely usable, completely unstable, full of security holes. I run approx 300 servers and zero of them run Windows, across all the desktops I manage, only three of them run it, because of a crappy software that some clients require. It's fair to say that Microsoft Windows is a usable, some what functional OS, that can solve simple problems, but past that, forget about it.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by gweilhir ( 88907 )  
Indeed. Windows is and has always been a toy. For a toy, the quality would be reasonable, bit for something you need to be able to depend on, it is a bad joke.

**The only new feature needed... (Score:2)**  
by megalomaniacs4u ( 194968 )  
The only new feature they need to implement in Windows 10 is the one that unfucks the UI and reverts to Windows 7.  
Other useful features would involve removing the dire windows store, UWP apps, the new settings bullshit and reverting to control panel.

**The Joy of Agile (Score:2)**  
by smithcl8 ( 738234 )  
Software engineers and business analysts may love it, but when you're updating stuff too often, it becomes a mighty headache to the end user. MS is simply too big to go this way with Windows....you can't update a billion devices 2x a year without something breaking.

**Clickbait (Score:3)**  
by farble1670 ( 803356 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @03:56PM (#57519247)  
Wait, we have this clickbait title:  
Microsoft's problem isn't how often it updates Windows—it's how it develops it  
but this buried in the article:  
Microsoft hasn't exactly revealed the development process being used with Windows 10  
Explanation: barely tech literate clickbait writer for Ars Technica imagines they have a clue about how software development works at Microsoft. Argue all you want about the quality of windows, but don't try to pretend you have some understanding about software development and how it's gone wrong in Redmond.

[Reply to This](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)


•

**QA (Score:2)**  
by antdude ( 79039 )  
MS axed its QA years ago too. :(

**Regret. (Score:2)**  
by KreAture ( 105311 )  
Not a single day goes by without me regretting installing Windows 10.  
Stop adding new shit, fix the bugs and let the system be stable and then leave me alone!  
Every time all my documents, that I use as reference material for something I am writing, is closed and windows forcibly rebooted I feel violated. Can I sue for psychological trauma?

Every time the "pay per startup", (very expensive), application I use is shut down for a reboot it cost money. Can I sue for that money back?

**MS will never lose my trust (Score:2)**  
by gweilhir ( 88907 )  
They never had it and they will never get it.

**Re:SDLC (Score:4, Insightful)**  
by b0s0z0ku ( 752509 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @12:48PM (#57517983)  
0. Introduce random UX changes that no one needs or wants because ooooooh! shinyyyy!  
[Reply to This](#) [Parent](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by greenwow ( 3635575 )  
And don't finish the UI changes you start. See the control panel.  
Just sucks that Microsoft has gotten rid of so many good employees that they can't finish that task they started over five years ago.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by UnknownSoldier ( 67820 )  
Kind of like that old MS joke:  
Microsoft Windows 8 and 10, noun: A 64-bit compilation of 32 bit extensions and a graphical shell for a 16 bit patch to an 8 bit operating system originally coded for a 4 bit microprocessor written by a 2 bit company that can't stand 1 bit of competition with 0 bit of understanding good UI.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Penguinisto ( 415985 )  
Disagree on one point...  
Win2k had the first iteration of Active Directory, and to be honest, it sucked balls, and each SP they slathered on just made it worse.  
Because of th as far as the Enterprise is concerned, IMHO 2003 stands as the last best version, though 2008 is still somewhat usable.

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by Sir Eptishous ( 873977 )  
Win2k had the first iteration of Active Directory, and to be honest, it sucked balls  
Microsoft blatantly ripped off Novell Network for AD.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Darninbob ( 1142669 )  
And don't forget, they also randomly remove options that used to be accessible from the control panel. The intent seems to be to discourage customization for anyone but a power user willing to spend time googling (binging) for a the relevant registry setting.

**Re: (Score:3)**  
by Joe\_Dragon ( 2206452 )  
forgot 1A lay off QA team and pass it to unpaid Beta Testers

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by PingSpike ( 947548 )  
According to yahoo laying off QA improves quality because without QA there are far fewer bugs in the code. Or was that bugs found in the code? Wait, the difference is immaterial because as everyone knows things that you can't see don't exist.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by greenwow ( 3635575 )  
I think that spoke more to the terrible quality of QA that Microsoft had. When you pay less than you can make at a local McDonald's, then of course you're going to either get unqualified or unmotivated people.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by snapsnap ( 5451726 )  
McDonald's on 85th just up the hill on Redmond Way (which becomes 85th) from Redmond is paying up to \$18 an hour starting and offers referral bonuses. Two friends that used to work for vendors at Microsoft now work there. Microsoft is literally losing people to McDonald's now.

**Re:SDLC (Score:2)**  
by UnknownFool ( 672806 )  
You forgot 1B: Ignore bug reports from Beta testers.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Darninbob ( 1142669 )  
1B) Dump the unpaid Beta Testers and pass it on to unsuspecting customers.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by ConceptJunkie ( 24823 )  
I thought the term "unpaid Beta Testers" meant the customers.

**Re:SDLC (Score:4, Informative)**  
by Penguinisto ( 415985 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:12PM (#57518203) Journal  
Depends... if we're talking iOS, you'd be spot-on.  
MacOS (OSX) on the other hand? They got that stability/performance shit down fairly cold. My last MacBook Pro (5 years old, my wife inherited it last month, uses it daily) only got one OS re-install, and that was because I swapped out the old platter drive for an SSD not long after I bought it.  
Zero stability issues, something like 5-6 OS upgrades on the same disk, a zillion patches/app-updates/etc... no sweat. Even today, it still runs as tight and fast as it did when I bought it in 2013. Only reason that I'm still not using it is because the 12MB GeForce in it doesn't run the tray render engine worth a damn (slow old GPU, no RAM to speak of on it, etc.).

[Reply to This](#) [Parent](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by nine-times ( 778537 )  
Honestly, I don't think iOS is that bad either. I've had a couple versions in recent years that were a little quirky, but mostly it's been trouble free, and some of the recent updates even seem to have made my device snappier.  
I have other problems/annoyances with Apple, but I haven't had big problems with stability or just untested releases.

Disclaimer: I don't use that many apps on my phone and I usually wait at least a few days before installing software updates on my phone. If I hear that people are h

•

**Computer SCIENCE (Score:2, Interesting)**  
by Anonymous Coward  
I just wonder what happened to software ENGINEERING.  
Why is it that user data ends up scattered everywhere ?  
Why not have all the Windows software run from a read only directory, and all Apps & Programs added on run from their own read only directory, and keep all user info in its own User directory.

Things get scattered about and stuffed in hidden directories, etc.  
I would like to see microsoft sort out the OS and default apps / office, so all of it runs from its own read only drive. Then all data and cookie

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Jamu ( 852752 )  
Why not have all the Windows software run from a read only directory, Where would hello.cpp compile to?

**Re: Computer SCIENCE (Score:2)**  
by reanjr ( 588767 )  
Because MS has decades of backwards compatible APIs and they attract inexperienced devs who don't know better. If INI files work for you, you use them. If the registry works for you, use it. If XML files in the user profile dir works, use it.  
You can't remove these capabilities without a fundamental, backwards-incompatible redesign of how apps interact with the OS, turning it into a walled garden, iOS-esque environment.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by Darninbob ( 1142669 )  
Doing things your way requires an adherence to proper design and discipline to maintain this. This is not the Microsoft Way. The Microsoft Way is to get it out fast, and then if a better way is discovered later then the old way and new way can live together side by side.

**Re: Computer SCIENCE (Score:5, Insightful)**  
by Londovir ( 705740 ) on Monday October 22, 2018 @01:19PM (#57518255)  
I get what you're trying to say, but it would be nice if you didn't phrase it in a way that makes you a douche. My Computer Science degree, with the countless hours spent implementing every well known sorting algorithm, search algorithm, data manipulation algorithm, data structures, and other things that were done well decades ago, says your concept of requesting a lack of an engineering degree to be a code monkey is crap. A degree doesn't have to have the word engineering in it to be a valuable degree program. Hell, it doesn't have to be taught in a college of engineering either. It's the content and curriculum that matter. I'd argue it's more likely that the company has pushed their developers to release faster and faster, without the time needed to properly test and QA their work. You can be a vaunted engineer, and if you are being rushed, you'll make mistakes. Look at Apple... You could make the same arguments about the last 3 versions of iOS being substandard for quality as the Windows 10 updates have been lately. I doubt Apple has code monkeys, as you'd call it; I think they are just rushing their workers to market.

[Reply to This](#) [Parent](#) [Share](#)  
[Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) [LinkedIn](#)   
[Flag as Inappropriate](#)

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by CohibaVancouver ( 864662 )  
My Computer Science degree, with the countless hours spent implementing every well known sorting algorithm, search algorithm, data manipulation algorithm, data structures, and other things that were done well decades ago, says your concept of requesting a lack of an engineering degree to being a code monkey is crap  
That's not what the parent said.

He said if you don't have an engineering degree you're not an engineer - In the same way someone who didn't go to medical school isn't a "medical doctor" or s

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by ConceptJunkie ( 24823 )  
In a century or two, there won't be software engineers. The software itself will do all that for us. Either that or software engineers will be back to software relays and vacuum tubes.

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by ConceptJunkie ( 24823 )  
As a software developer, I'm fully aware of my limitations and take that into account when I do my work. I would imagine most developers do this. In my experience, if there's a buggy release, it's not the fault of developers or testers, but of management. Developers are constantly being asked to squeeze in one more feature, without being given the time to properly design it, and without the time for the testers to do their work. I've known quite a few testers in my day and they are generally very thoro

**Re: Computer SCIENCE (Score:3, Interesting)**  
by Zero\_Kelvin ( 151819 )  
You don't have to have a degree to be an engineer, and you can have a degree and still not be one. It is about competence not certification paperwork. I would wager that most incompetent people in the industry have a degree but instead of approaching the problem as an engineering one, the start writing code on day one because they don't know any better, and that is how management thinks it is done.

**Re: Computer SCIENCE (Score:2)**  
by Zero\_Kelvin ( 151819 )  
Oh, I totally agree. Idiots who think that you should design a system rather than start off writing code are the Antichrist ... you fucking moron.

•

**Re: (Score:2)**  
by jezweil ( 2451108 )  
I can't remember the last time my unmanaged home PCs spent time doing updates, because I've set it to do them

•



in the wee hours of the morning.  
Every once in a while I'll sit down with breakfast and have to login, which is an indication that the PC ran an update.  
It's been doing that since the free upgrade to Win10 came out for earlier versions of windows.  
I have no idea about how long updates take to download, it does that in the background. Installing? I'm asleep, there's a several hour window of opportunit

- **Related Links** **Top of the: [day](#), [week](#), [month](#).**
- 510 comments[DOJ: Strong Encryption That We Don't Have Access To Is 'Unreasonable'](#)
- 477 comments[Recruiters Are Still Complaining About No-Shows At Interviews](#)
- 445 comments[Should Developers Abandon Agile?](#)
- 441 comments[Hackers Who Attended Black Hat and DefCon Conferences Say Hotel Security Personnel Demanded Access To Their Rooms](#)
- 416 comments[Kernel Memory Leaking' Intel Processor Design Flaw Forces Linux, Windows Redesign](#)

[next](#)

 [A Device That Can Pull Drinking Water From the Air Just Won the Latest XPrize](#)

334 comments


[previous](#)

**You Tube**

[YouTubers Will Enter Politics. And If They Do, They're Probably Going To Win](#)

280 comments

[Slashdot](#)

Post 

100 of 212 loaded

[Submit Story](#)

You will be successful in your work.

[FAQ](#)

[Story Archive](#)

[Hall of Fame](#)

[Advertising](#)

[Terms](#)

[Privacy Statement](#)

[Privacy Choices](#)

[Opt-out Choices](#)

[About](#)

[Feedback](#)

[Mobile View](#)

[Blog](#)

Trademarks property of their respective owners. Comments owned by the poster. Copyright © 2018 SlashdotMedia. All Rights Reserved.

[Close](#)

[Slashdot](#)

Working...