electrek ~

TESLA

police and plaintiffs to avoid blame in **Autopilot crash** Fred Lambert | Aug 4 2025 - 8:54 am PT | 71 Comments

Tesla withheld data, lied, and misdirected



wrongful death case involving Autopilot that it lost this week. The automaker was undeniably covering up for Autopilot. Last week, a jury found Tesla partially liable for a wrongful death involving a crash on Autopilot. I explained the case in the verdict in this article and video. But we now have access to the trial transcripts, which confirm that Tesla was extremely misleading in its attempt to place all the blame on the driver.

What ensued were years of battle to get Tesla to acknowledge that this collision snapshot exists and is relevant to the case.

Here, in chronological order, is what happened based on all the evidence in the trial transcript:

pretended never happened Within ~3 minutes of the crash, the Model S packaged sensor video, CAN-bus, EDR, and

Based on the data, Moore was able to confirm that Tesla had this "collision snapshot" all along, but "unlinked" it from the vehicle:

"That tells me within minutes of this crash Tesla had all of this data ... the car received an acknowledgement ... then said 'OK, I'm done, I'm going to unlink it.'"

Riso said of McCarthy during the trial:

"He said it's not necessary. 'Write me a letter and I'll tell you what to put in

He was put in contact with Tesla attorney Ryan McCarthy and asked if he needed to

Corporal Riso, a homicide investigator with the Florida Highway Patrol (FHP), sought Tesla's

"I specifically wrote down what the attorney at Tesla told me to write down in the letter." But McCarthy specifically crafted the letter to ommit sharing the colllision snapshot, which

Instead, Tesla provided the police with infotainment data with call logs, a copy of the

Owner's Manual, but not the actual crash telemetry from the Autopilot ECU.

Tesla never said that it already had this data for more than a month by now.

3 | June 2019 - A staged "co-operation" that corrupts

includes bundled video, EDR, CAN bus, and Autopilot data.

from the Autopilot computer. On June 19, 2019, Riso physically removed the MCU and Autopilot ECU from the Tesla. Again, the investigator thought that Tesla was being collaborative with the investigation at the time so he asked the company how to get the data out of the computer. He said at the

Tesla got even more deceptice when the police specifically tried to collect the data directly

I brought the center tablet [MCU] and the flat silver box [Autopilot ECU] with multicolored connectors to the Tesla service center."

However, the situation got a lot more confusing as Calafell swore in an affidavit that he

get access to the Autopilot ECU, we learned that Tesla undeniably powered up the

Only years later, when Alan Moore, the forensic engineer hired by the plaintiff, managed to

didn't actually power the ECU, only the MCU, on that day, June 19.

Tesla had the data on its servers within minutes of the crash

"I watched Mr. Calafell the whole time. The evidence was in my custody. I did

4 | 2019 – 2024 – Repeated denials and discovery

When the plaintiffs asked for the data, Tesla said that it didn't exist Tesla only admitted to the existence of the data once presented with forensic evidence that it was created and transfered to its servers.

the exact server path. 7 | May 2025 - Subpoenaed server logs corner Tesla Armed with the the newly found metadata, plaintiffs were able to subpoenaed Tesla's AWS logs.

Tesla still fought them, but facing a sanctions hearing, Tesla finally produced the untouched

TAR file plus access logs showing it had been stored **since 18:16 PDT on 25 Apr 2019**—the

During the trial, Mr. Schreiber, attorney for the plaintiffs, claimed that Tesla used the data for

They not only had the snapshot — they used it in their own analysis. It shows

Autopilot was engaged. It shows the acceleration and speed. It shows

Yet, it didn't give access to the police nor the family of the victim who have been trying to

use Autopilot on roads it was not designed to operate on as it was specifically trained for highways. The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) had even worn Tesla about it and the automaker didn't geofenced the system:.

"Incorporate system safeguards that limit the use of automated vehicle control

systems to those conditions for which they were designed (the vehicle's

The driver was responsible for the crash and he admitted as such. He admitted to not using

However, the main goal of the plaintiffs in this case was to assign part of the blame for the

The logic is that if Tesla had implemted geofencing and better driver monitoring, the driver,

crash to Tesla for not preventing such abuse of the system despite the clear risk.

McGee, would have never been able to use Autopilot in this case, which could have

This was critical to the case as one of the arguments was that Tesla dangerously let owners

View all comments This case was only meant to explore how Tesla's marketing and deployment of Autopilot might have contributed to the crash, and after looking at all the evidence, the jury agreed that it did.

> Fred Lambert | Aug 4 2025 BMW wants to win Tesla EV drivers back and it will start with the new long-range iX3 Peter Johnson | Aug 4 2025

Tesla withheld data, lied, and misdirected police and plaintiffs to avoic

· Subscribe to Electrek on YouTube for exclusive videos and subscribe to the podcast.

crashes from happening in the first place. **Electrek's Take** Tesla fans need to do a quick exercise in empathy right now. The way they are discussing this case, such as claiming the plaintiffs are just looking for a payout, is truly appalling. You should put yourself in the family's shoes. If your daughter died in a car crash, you'd want to know exactly what happened, identify all contributing factors, and try to eliminate

pre-planed destruction of evidence. There is no other reason to do it. This is criminal, and worse than VW dieselgate

FTC: We use income earning auto affiliate links. More. Ride More. Spend Less. Affordable ebikes that deliver.

Add Electrek to your Google News feed.

■ Jo Borrás | Aug 3 2025 Mercedes says the GLC EV 'redefines' the brand with a new face, but

E-quipment highlight: Wirtgen Vögele launches new electric MINI pav

from electrek

what is that?

Peter Johnson | Aug 4 2025

The company went as far as to actively withhold critical evidence that explained Autopilot's performance around the crash. Tesla withheld the crash-snapshot data that its own server received within minutes of the collision

The police repeatedly attempted to obtain the data from the collision snapshot, but Tesla led the authorities and the plaintiffs on a lengthy journey of deception and misdirection that spanned years. 1 | 25 Apr 2019 - The crash and an instant upload Tesla

other streams into a single "snapshot_collision_airbag-deployment.tar" file and pushed it to Tesla's server, then deleted its local copy. We know that now, thanks to forensic evidence extracted from the onboard computer. The plaintiffs hired Alan Moore, a mechanical engineer who specializes in accident reconstruction, to forensically recover data from the Autopilot ECU (computer).

The plaintiffs tried to obtain this data, but Tesla told them that it didn't exist. Tesla's written discovery responses were shown during the trial to prove that the company acted as if this data were not available.

2 | 23 May 2019 - Tesla's lawyer scripts the homicide

help in retrieving telemetry data to aid in reconstructing the crash.

investigator's evidence request

subpoena Tesla to get the crash data.

the letter."

evidence

trial:

What ensued was pure cinema.

Riso said during his testimony:

sitting on its servers for years.

claimed it was "corrupted"

originally find the data in the computer

The facts are:

The engineer

Moore, the fo

Now, the plail

6 Feb-M

file name

Moore was as

The data that

up to the crash.

"For a

treasu

"Tesla

Tesla.'

2

口

Learn more

used specifically by this site or app.

Manage options

same three-minute timestamp Moore had highlighted.

The automaker had to admit to have the data all along.

its own internal analysis of the crash:

McGhee's hands off the wheel.

understand what happened to their daughter.

The data recovered made a few things clear:

Autosteer was controlling the vehicle

intersection with a stationary vehicle in its path.

Map and vision data from the ECU revealed:

did not disengage or issue a warning."

Autopilot was active

but did not in this case.

Autosteer zone."

Moore commented on the last point:

The NTSB had wrote Tesla:

else.

through the courts.

for the crash to Tesla.

agreed.

operational design domain)."

Autopilot properly and not paying attention during the crash.

withdraw consent in privacy and cookie settings.

not let it out of my sight."

computer on June 19 and the data was accessible.

Riso followed the instructions verbatim. He said during the trial:

At the time, he didn't see Tesla as an adversary in this case and thought that McCarthy would facilitate the retrieval of the data without having to go through a formal process.

However, the lawyer crafted the letter to avoid sending the police the full crash data.

Tesla arranged for Riso to meet Michael Calafell, a Tesla technician, at the local service center in in Coral Gables with the Autopilot ECU and the Model S' MCU, the two main onboard computers.

I had contacted Mr. McCarthy and asked him how I can get this data off of the

computer components. He said that he would coordinate me meeting with a

technician at their service center, the Tesla service center in Coral Gables.

To be clear, Tesla already had all this data in its servers and could have just sent it to Riso,

but instead, they lured him into its service center with the piece of evidence in his custody.

Michael Calafell, who testified never having been tasked with extracting data from an

Autopilot ECU before, connected both computers to a Model S in the shop to be able to

access them, but he then claimed that the data was "corrupted" and couldn't be access.

stonewalling Through years of communications with the police, the plaintiffs and the court through the investigation and later the discovery process for the lawsuit, Tesla never mentioned that it

had all the data that explained how Autopilot saw the crash, which everyone was seeking,

When the police sought the data, Tesla redirected them toward other data

• When the police sought Tesla's help in extracting it from the computer, Tesla falsely

Tesla invented an "auto-delete" feature that didn't exist to try explain why it couldn't

5 | Late 2024 – Court orders a bit-for-bit NAND-flash image By late 2024, the court allowed the plantiffs to have a third-party expert access the Autopilot ECU to try to access the data that Tesla claimed was now corrupted. The court allowed the forensic engineers to do a bit-for-bit NAND flash image, which

consists of a complete, sector-by-sector copy of the data stored on a NAND flash memory

electrek

Electrek asks for your consent to use your

personal data to:

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content

measurement, audience research and services development

Store and/or access information on a device

Your personal data will be processed and information from your device (cookies, unique identifiers, and other device data) may be stored by, accessed by and shared with 142 TCF vendor(s) and 68 ad partner(s), or

Some vendors may process your personal data on the basis of legitimate interest, which you can object to by managing your options below. Look for a link at the bottom of this page or in the site menu to manage or

it was missing key alerts and timestamps about Autophot and its decision-making leading

On top of all the data being so much more helpful, Moore found unallocated space and

metadata for 'snapshot_collision_airbag-deployment.tar', including its SHA-1 checksum and

Consent

s claims.

e outside

eals the

e grainy, and

chip, including all data, metadata, and error correction code (ECC) information.

Finally, this entire situation was laid bare in front of the jury last month and certainly influenced the jury in their verdict. The jury was confronted with clear evidence of Tesla trying to hide data about the crash, and then, they were shown what that data revealed.

8 | July 2025 Trial - The puzzle laid bare for the jury

No manual braking or steering override was detected from the driver

• There was no record of a "Take Over Immediately" alert, despite approaching a T-

Moore found logs showing Tesla systems were capable of issuing such warnings,

Map data from the Autopilot ECU included a flag that the area was a "restricted"

Despite this, the system allowed Autopilot to remain engaged at full speed.

"Tesla had the map flag. The car knew it was in a restricted zone, yet Autopilot

potentially avoidded putting himself in the situation that led to the crash. That's on top of Autopilot failing at what Tesla has repeatedly claim it could do: stop those

them to give some meaning to this tragic loss and prevent it from happening to someone

It's an entirely normal human reaction. And to make this happen in the US, you must go

Secondly, Tesla fans need to do a quick exercise in humbleness. They act like they know

The truth is that unless you read the entire transcripts and saw all the evidence, you don't

know more about it than the 12 jurors who unanimously decided to assign 33% of the blame

And that's the core of the issue here. They want to put all the blame on the driver, and what

The two sides are not that far off from each other. They both agreed that most of the blame

goes to the driver, and even the driver appears to agree with that. He admitted to being

distracted and he quickly settled with the plaintiffs.

Top comment by Doug T

doubt that he didn't use Autopilot properly.

driver monitoring to prevent abuse of the system like that.

I think a 33% blame in this case is more than fair.

Liked by 36 people

the plaintiffs were trying to do was just assign part of the blame on Tesla, and the jurors

exactly what this case is about and assume that it will "just be thrown out in appeal."

Regulators in all countries where AP was sold should be looking at criminal charges and billions in fines

There's no doubt that the driver should bare most of the responsability and there's no

However, there's also no doubt that Autopilot was active, didn't prevent the crash despite

Tesla claiming it is safer than humans, and Tesla was warned to use better geo-fencing and

Tesla programming the auto-delete of forensic data is corporate implementation of

Featured

blame in Autopilot crash

comments

Conversation 71 Comments

Within about three minutes of the crash, the Model Suploaded a "collision snapshot" video, CAN-bus streams, EDR data, etc.—to Tesla's servers, the "Mothership", and received an acknowledgement. The vehicle then deleted its local copy, resulting in Tesla being the only entity having access.

Tesla was caught withholding data, lying about it, and misdirecting authorities in the



Sponsored

Tesla is a transportation and energy company. lt...

Popular in the Community

Author Fred Lambert | fredericlambert

Tesla

Fred is the Editor in Chief and Main Writer at Electrek. You can send tips on Twitter (DMs open) or via email: fred@9to5mac.com Through Zalkon.com, you can check out Fred's portfolio and get monthly green stock

investment ideas.

AdChoices D

Guides

Fred Lambert's Favorite Gear

Combat Edge

Get an edge on MMA with the best stats

the best price possible on a home solar installation for free and without hassel.

EnergySage EnergySage helps you get

electrek

Electrek asks for your consent to use your personal data to:

Store and/or access information on a device

used specifically by this site or app.

withdraw consent in privacy and cookie settings.

Your personal data will be processed and information from your device (cookies, unique identifiers, and other device data) may be stored by, accessed by and shared with 142 TCF vendor(s) and 68 ad partner(s), or

Some vendors may process your personal data on the basis of legitimate interest, which you can object to by managing your options below. Look for a link at the bottom of this page or in the site menu to manage or

္

Personalised advertising and content, advertising and content measurement, audience research and services development